

European Association for Neuroscience and Law Annual Meeting Bonn, 9-10 September, 2013

# Talking with a brain: Disorders of consciousness and the use of brain-computer interfaces

### Ralf J. Jox

Institute of Ethics, History and Theory of Medicine University of Munich, Germany



- 1. Disorders of consciousness
- 2. Neuroimaging: spectacular studies
- 3. Brain-computer-interface: the vision
- 4. Ethics and law: some critical comments



# Intuition



## Conscious or unconscious?





Eyes closed ⇒ sleeping ⇒ unconscious

Eyes open ⇒ awake ⇒ **conscious** 







#### Table 2 | Differential diagnosis in severe brain injury survivors

Condition	Definition	Main clinical characteristics	
Coma	Unarousable state of unresponsiveness	Absence of eye opening (even after intense stimulation)	
		No evidence of awareness of the self or environment	
		Condition protracted for more than one hour	
Vegetative state	Wakefulness accompanied by the absence of any sign of awareness	Presence of eye opening and closing	
		Absence of any reproducible purposeful behaviour including (a) no evidence of non-response to sensory stimulation; (b) no evidence of awareness of the self or the environment; (c) no evidence of language comprehension or expression	
Minimally conscious state	Wakefulness accompanied by inconsistent but reproducible signs of awareness	Presence of eye opening and closing	
		Presence of inconsistent but reproducible purposeful behaviour including (any of) (a) non-reflexive response to sensory stimulation; (b) awareness of the self or the environment; (c) language comprehension or expression	
		Lack of functional communication or object use	
Locked-in syndrome	Impairment in the production of voluntary motor behaviour	Presence of eye-coded communication	
		Preserved awareness	Monti et al
		Complete or partial inability to produce motor behaviour	BMJ 2010



# **Source of conflicts**



Karen Ann Quinlan



Nancy Cruzan



Tony Bland



Terri Schiavo



Eluana Englaro



Ariel Sharon





- All end-of-life care cases before the highest court concerned patients with disorders of consciousness (DOC)
- 1994-2010: 30 court decisions in 17 DOC cases (4 times up until highest federal court)
- In all 17 cases the main question was whether to administer artificial nutrition and hydration
- Legal surrogate: 12x adult children, 3x partner, 1x father
- Decisions always based on patient autonomy

Budick T et al. Nervenheilkd 2012;4:231-5



1. Disorders of consciousness

# 2. Neuroimaging: spectacular studies

3. Brain-computer-interface: the vision

# 4. Ethics and law: some critical comments







WISSENSCHAFT / FORSCHUNG SPEZIAL

MITTWOCH, 10. OKTOBER 2007

# Das Thema Bewusstsein ist sexy

Steven Laureys erförscht das Bewusstsein im Grenzbereich. Kürzlich sprach er in Wien im Rahmen der Uni-Tagung "Conference on Consciousness". Stefan Löffler erklärte er, warum die Diagnose des Komas problematisch ist und welche ethischen Fragen er sich stellt.

STANDARD: Waren Sie schon mal bei einer Organentnahme dabei? Laurevs: la eine ziemlich tech-









• MCS: Brain activity in fMRI/AEP similar to controls if own name or familiar faces are presented

Di HB (2007) Neurology, Zhu J (2009) J Neurotrauma

 MCS: PET activity pattern in response to painful stimuli is similar to that of controls (but not for VS)

Boly M (2008) Lancet Neurol

# **Resting state fMRI**:

Default mode network of the brain: Brain death - VS  $\downarrow \downarrow$  MCS = controls

Cauda F (2009) JNNP 80:429; Boly M (2009) Hum Brain Mapp 30:2393





Jox - EANL Bonn







#### HIRNFORSCHUNG I WACHKOMA

# Messen statt diskutieren!

Mit Hilfe von Hirnscans können Forscher feststellen, ob ein Patient im Wachkoma bei Bewusstsein ist oder nicht. Im zweiten Teil der neuen **G&G**-Rubrik schildert der Neurowissenschaftler **Christof Koch**, wie diese und andere Techniken eines Tages helfen können, subjektives Erleben objektiv zu bestimmen.

Gehirn & Geist, Heft 7-8, 2010



# A recent case



**Scott Routley**, 39yo, diagnosed to be in a Vegetative State for 12 years after a car accident





- 1. Disorders of consciousness
- 2. Neuroimaging: spectacular studies

# 3. Brain-computer-interface: the vision

# 4. Ethics and law: some critical comments



# Vision



Assessing decision making capacity in the behaviorally nonresponsive patient with residual covert awareness

Journal:	AJOB Neuroscience Journal	
Manuscript ID:	UABN-2012-0124.R1	
Manuscript Type:	Target Article	

- Aim: using fMRI-based Brain-Computer Interface (BCI)
  - a) to determine decisional capacity
  - b) to express treatment decision/ to get informed consent

AJOB Neuroscience

Article should provide conceptual basis



- Decision-making capacity is seen as a necessary condition for legal competence
- Capacity is the sum of 4 necessary criteria: Communication, understanding, appreciation, reasoning (Appelbaum P, NEJM 2007)
- These criteria are "decomposed" into cognitive faculties, e.g.:

3) reasoning → ability to engage short-term memory, ability to retrieve long-term memory, ability to process logic inferences

Intactness of faculties is measured by fMRI/MRI/EEG





 Standardized tests are used, e.g. MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool Treatment (MacCAT-T) or the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)

Threshold of decision making capacity	Potential net balance of expected benefits and harms	Potential consequences of decision	Example of binary question	Stakes of Decision
High Threshold	Potential harms substantially outweigh the benefits relative to alternative treatments	Radical and Irreversible	Do you consent to invasive research?	High
Medium Threshold	Potential harms are equal to the benefits relative to alternative treatments	Radical yet Reversible	Do you consent to appointing person X as your medical proxy?	Medmm
Low Threshold	Potential benefits substantially outweigh the harms relative to alternative treatments	Mundane and Reversible	Do you wish to have more pain medications?	Low



- 1. Disorders of consciousness
- 2. Neuroimaging: spectacular studies
- 3. Brain-computer-interface: the vision

# 4. Ethics and law: some critical comments



- Aim of **promoting autonomy** is laudible
- Yet, this aim will hardly be achieved when the method is reductionist:
- 1) Patient autonomy can also be respected and promoted below the threshold of capacity/competence
- 2) Autonomy and capacity are not solely cognitive: emotional & social abilities needed (e.g. hope, despair)
- *3)* Isolated assessment of cognitive functions is insufficient to judge the integrative function of capacity





- 4) Capacity cannot be adequately determined by asking yes/no questions in fMRI: pt can neither explain reasons nor pose questions
- 5) The moral responsibility of the capacity assessment requires an interpersonal dialogue
- Maybe **other techniques** would be favourable, e.g. BCI-based letter selection, EEG instead of fMRI
  - BCI is more useful in appraising the **patient wellbeing** than determining capacity & getting informed consent
- **Ethical assessment**: Burden? Raising false hopes? Delaying decisions? Delegating to pseudo-autonomy?



# **Publication**



AJOB Neuroscience, 4(4): 15–25, 2013 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 2150-7740 print / 2150-7759 online DOI: 10.1080/21507740.2013.827279

**Open Peer Commentaries** 

# Interface Cannot Replace Interlocution: Why the Reductionist Concept of Neuroimaging-Based Capacity Determination Fails

Ralf J. Jox, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

# Thank you for the attention!

ralf.jox@med.lmu.de